# β-AGAROFURANE SESQUITERPENE ESTERS FROM MAYTENUS CANARIENSIS

ANTONIO G. GONZÁLEZ, IGNACIO A. JIMÉNEZ, ANGEL G. RAVELO, JAVIER G. LUIS and ISABEL L. BAZZOCCHI Centro de Productos Naturales Orgánicos Antonio González, Universidad de La Laguna, 38206 Tenerife, Canary Islands, Spain

(Received in revised form 7 June 1988)

Key Word Index—Maytenus canariensis; Celastraceae;  $\beta$ -dihydroagarofurane sesquiterpenes.

**Abstract**—Two sesquiterpene polyesters with new polyhydroxy skeletons were isolated from the aerial part of *Maytenus canariensis*. These compounds were identified by chemical degradation and spectroscopy as  $1\alpha,9\alpha$ -dibenzoyloxy- $6\beta,8\alpha,15$ -triacetoxy- $4\beta$ -hydroxy-dihydro- $\beta$ -agarofurane and  $1\alpha,9\alpha$ -dibenzoyloxy- $2\alpha,6\beta,8\alpha,15$ -tetracetoxy- $4\beta$ -hydroxydihydro- $\beta$ -agrofurane.

## INTRODUCTION

Maytenus canariensis (Loes.) Kunk. et Sund. [1] was once considered a species of the genus Catha Forsk [2] among others [3, 4], but at the present is placed in Maytenus Feuill. It is one of the Celastraceae used in folk medicine and, hence, of interest in our programme of intensive research into such species. Various nortriterpene quinonoid compounds [5] with antitumour properties [6] have already been reported together with triterpenes [7] and chemotaxonomic evidence connecting this plant to the monospecies Catha edulis [8]. A further study of the minor constituents from the aerial part of M. canariensis has yielded epifriedelinol [9] and cerin [10], known triterpenes not previously isolated from this plant, together with two new sesquiterpene esters (1 and 5).

## RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Compound 1 was assigned the molecular formula  $C_{35}H_{40}O_{12}$  and the structure  $1\alpha,9\alpha$ -dibenzoyloxy- $6\beta$ ,  $8\alpha,15$ -triacetoxy- $4\beta$ -hydroxydihydro- $\beta$ -agarofurane on the basis of the following evidence: IR absorption bands at 3670 (OH), and 1725 and 1720 (COO) cm<sup>-1</sup>, a benzoate chromophore in the UV spectrum [11] which was confirmed by the loss of m/z 122 ( $C_6H_5$ COOH) units in the mass spectrum, and signals for 10 protons between  $\delta 6.86$  and 7.60 in the <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectrum. The three singlets of three protons each which appear at  $\delta 2.04$ , 2.14 and 2.35 confirm the presence of three acetate groups as do fragments corresponding to the repeated loss of 60 (AcOH) and 42 (CO=CH<sub>2</sub>) units in the MS.

Compound 1 is unaltered when treated with acetic anhydride in pyridine. This, taken together with the IR data and the presence of a singlet proton exchangeable with  $D_2O$  at  $\delta 2.70$  in <sup>1</sup>H NMR, indicates that the molecule contains a tertiary hydroxy group.

Thus 1 has two benzoates, three acetates and a tertiary hydroxyl group. The  $^1H$  and  $^{13}C$  data (see Experimental) being those characteristic of a dihydro- $\beta$ -agarofurane skeleton [12]. NOE experiments sited the tertiary hydroxy group at C-4 in the  $\beta$  configuration. An ABX

system was also visible in the <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectrum with signals at  $\delta$  5.76 (d, J = 5.8), 5.54 (dd, J = 4.1, 5.8) and 2.37 (d, J=4.1) for H-9, H-8 and H-7, respectively, according to double resonance experiments. No NOE effect between H-6 (with  $\alpha$  disposition,  $J_{6,7} < 1$  Hz) and H-8 being observed. Therefore H-8 must have the  $\beta$  configuration, like H-9 in alatol (9) [13], where the  $J_{7-8}$  and  $J_{8-9}$ are identical to those of 1. The NOE effect seen between H-6 and the methyl at C-4 means that the configuration of this latter is  $\alpha$  and consequently that of the tertiary hydroxy group is  $\beta$ . A proton which appears as a doublet at  $\delta 5.56$  (J=4, 12.5) was assigned to H-1 with axial disposition, as in all the dihydroagarofurane-skeleton sesquiterpenes isolated from Celastraceae [14]. An AB system at  $\delta 4.72$  and 5.22 (J = 13.3) was assigned to the protons of a methylene attached to an ester group. Its chemical shift and coupling constant [15] placed it at C-

As the signals for acetate methyls ( $\delta$  2.04–2.35) and aromatic protons ( $\delta$  6.86-7.60) appear in the usual regions, the substituents at C-1 and C-9 cannot be  $1\alpha-9\beta$ since this would have produced important diamagnetic shifts of the signals [16, 17], and so the  $1\alpha$ ,  $9\alpha$  stereochemistry was confirmed. The distribution of the ester groups remained to be solved. Partial hydrolysis of 1 with 0.1 M sodium hydrogen carbonate in methanol gave three products, 2-4, from the structures of which it was possible to arrive at an unequivocal assignment for 1. Compound 2 proved to be the 6-deacetylderivative of 1 as shown by its <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectrum where the signal for the H-6 proton was shifted from  $\delta$  6.86 in 1 to 5.34 in 2 while the acetate methyl signal which in 1 was at  $\delta 2.14$  disappeared completely. Thus one acetate was located at C-6. Product 3 is the  $1\alpha$ -debenzoyloxy- $6\beta$ -deacetylderivative of 1 as is shown by the disappearance of the signals for aromatic protons and the shift of H-1 from  $\delta$  5.56 in 1 to 5.35 in 3 and that of H-6 from  $\delta$  6.86 in 1 also to 5.35 in 3; one of the benzoates can therefore be sited at C-1. Compound 4 proved to be the  $6\beta$ ,8 $\alpha$ ,15-trideacetylderivative of 1: the three acetate methyls disappear while the C-6, C-8 and C-15 acetate protons shift from  $\delta$ 6.86, 5.54 and 4.72–5.22 in 1 to 5.62, 4.43 and 4.31-4.57, respectively, in 4, which

establishes the structure of 1 quite definitely. The basic polyhydroxy skeleton of compound 1 is an isomer of alatol (9) [18].

The natural product 5 has the formula  $C_{37}H_{42}O_{14}$  and its spectroscopic properties are similar to those of 1, the most notable difference being that 5 has an extra acetate on C-2 with the same stereochemistry as in alatol, i.e.  $2\alpha$  on the basis of the following data. The protons on C-1 and C-2 and C-3 are observed as an ABX<sub>2</sub> system at  $\delta$  5.77, 5.47 and 2.24 and have coupling constants similar to those found in alatol derivatives [13]. The rest of the data indicate OH functionalization at 4, i.e.  $\delta$  2.75 exchangeable with  $D_2O$ . The data for the  $8\alpha$ ,  $9\alpha$  and 15 groups (See 1H NMR data, Table 1) agree with those of 1. The four acetates and two benzoates were assigned by a study of the partial hydrolysis products of 5, namely. 6–8 (Table 1), which indicate that the polyhydroxy skeleton of this compound is that of  $4\beta$ -hydroxyalatol [18].

#### EXPERIMENTAL

The plant was collected in Icod, Tenerife and a voucher specimen is lodged with the Departamento de Biologia Vegetal of the Facultad de Ciencias Biologicas, Universidad de La Laguna.

The aerial part of the plant (7 kg) was extracted with cold EtOH. 100 g of the extract was chromatographed on Sephadex LH20 using a n-hexane-HCl<sub>3</sub>-MeOH (2:1:1) as eluent, followed by repeated chromatography on silica gel with mixtures of n-hexane-CHCl<sub>3</sub>-MeOH and n-hexane-EtOAc in dioxane. Friedelin, epi-friedelinol, cerin and 30-hydroxyfriedelan-3-one were obtained as were 1 (52 mg) and 5 (38 mg). The <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectra were taken in CDCl<sub>3</sub>.

1α,9α-Dibenzoyloxy-6β,8α,15-triacetoxy-4β-hydroxydihydro-β-agarofurane (1). Isolated as a crystalline solid, mp 212–213°, [M – MeCOOH] <sup>+</sup> at m/z 592.2394 (calc. for  $C_{33}H_{36}O_{10}$ , 592.2480); UV  $\lambda_{\rm max}^{\rm EtoH}$  nm: 230, 270; IR  $\nu_{\rm max}$  cm <sup>-1</sup>: 3670, 3540, 3010, 2990, 2840, 1725, 1720, 1450, 1365, 1275, 1230, 1105, 1090, 710; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (200 MHz) δ: 1.41 (3H, s), 1.57 (3H, s), 1.68 (3H, s), 2.04 (3H, s), 2.14 (3H, s), 2.35 (3H, s), 2.37 (1H, d, J = 4.1 Hz), 2.70 (1H, s), 4.72, 5.22 (2H,  $d_{\rm AB}$ , J = 13.3 Hz), 5.54 (1H, dd, J = 5.8, 4.1 Hz), 5.56 (1H, dd, J = 12.5, 4.0 Hz), 5.76 (1H, d, J = 5.8 Hz), 6.86 (1H, br s), 6.86 (1H, t), 7.18 (3H, m), 7.39 (2H, m), 7.60 (4H, m); <sup>13</sup>C NMR δ: 72.67 (C-1), 25.17 (C-2), 37.94 (C-3), 70.59 (C-4), 92.20 (C-5), 78.59 (C-6), 53.24 (C-7), 75.45 (C-8), 70.17 (C-9), 52.57 (C-10), 82.68 (C-11), 24.27 (C-12), 29.45 (C-13), 22.75 (C-14), 60.58 (C-15); EIMS m/z (rel. int.) 592 [M – 60] <sup>+</sup> (3), 550 (3), 530 (1), 488 (1), 470 (1), 428 (2), 306 (2), 288 (2), 268 (4), 122 (2), 105 (100), 83 (100).

Table 1. <sup>1</sup>H NMR data for compounds 1-8 (200 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)

	H-1	H-2	H-6	H-7	H-8	H-9	H-15	AcO-
1	5.56 dd		6.86 br s	2.37 d	5.54 dd	5.76 d	4.72–5.22 d	2.04, 2.14, 2.35
	J = 4.0, 12.5			J = 4.1	J = 4.1, 5.8	J = 5.8	$J_{AB} = 13.3$	
2	5.62 dd*		5.34 br s	2.45 d	5.59 d*	5.76 d	4.85-5.07	2.24, 2.45
				J = 4.2	J = 4.2, 5.3	J = 5.3	$J_{AB} = 13.4$	
3	5.35 m*		5.35 br s	2.67 d	5.76 dd	5.83 d	4.83-5.02	2.00
				J = 4.0	J = 4.0, 5.5	J = 5.5	$J_{AB} = 13.1$	
4	5.62 dd		5.62 br s	2.45 d	4.43 dd	5.71 d	4.31-4.57 d	
	J = 4.5, 12.5			J = 4.1	J = 4.1, 5.3	J = 5.3	$J_{AB} = 12.6$	
5	5.77 d	5.47 m	6.97 br s	2.42 d	5.57 dd	5.72 d	5.05-5.29	2.05, 2.07,
	J = 3.8			J = 4.0	J = 4.0, 6.2	J = 6.2	$J_{AB} = 13.5$	2.15, 2.37
6	5.76 d	5.44 m	5.43 br s	2.40 d	5.60 dd	5.73 d	5.00-5.23 d	2.00,
	J = 3.0			J = 4.0,	J = 4.0, 5.0	J = 5.0	$J_{AB} = 12.5$	2.06, 2.28
7	5.80 d	5.47 m*	5.77 br s	2.70 d	5.47 m*	5.70 d	4.90-5.35 d	2.03, 2.28
	J = 5.0			J = 4.0		J = 6.0	$J_{AB} = 12.5$	
8	5.76 d	4.42 m	5.56 br s	2.49 d	5.37 dd	5.58 d	4.46-4.75 d	2.09
	J = 3.0			J = 4.0	J = 6.0, 4.0	J = 6.0	$J_{AB} = 12.5$	

<sup>\*</sup>The signal could not be observed owing to overlapping. J values in Hz.

A soln of 1 (37 mg) in MeOH (4 ml) was treated with 0.1 M NaHCO<sub>3</sub> (2 ml) and then refluxed at 50° for 8 hr. After extraction and chromatography, 2-4 were obtained.

Compound 2 was an amorphous solid, mp 52–54°; UV  $\lambda_{\text{max}}^{\text{EiOH}}$  nm: 275, 281; IR  $\nu_{\text{max}}$  cm<sup>-1</sup>: 3680, 3540, 3450, 3010, 3000, 2930, 2850, 1750, 1450, 1365, 1275, 1235, 1100, 710; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (200 MHz)  $\delta$ : 1.62 (3H, s), 1.68 (3H, s), 1.70 (3H, s), 2.01 (3H, s), 2.24 (3H, s), 2.45 (1H, d, J=4.2 Hz), 4.85, 5.07 (2H,  $d_{\text{AB}}$ , J=13.4 Hz), 5.34 (1H, s), 5.59 (1H, dd, J=5.3, 4.2 Hz), 5.62 (1H, dd, J=4.5, could not be measured; overlapping signals), 5.76 (1H, d, J=5.3 Hz), 6.87 (2H, t), 7.19 (3H, t), 7.40 (2H, m), 7.59 (3H, m); EIMS m/z (rel. int.): 592 [M-18]  $^+$  (1), 550 (1), 488 (1), 470 (1), 428 (1), 105 (100).

Compound 3 was isolated as an amorphous solid, mp 52–53°; UV  $\lambda_{\rm max}^{\rm EiOH}$  nm 283, 290; IR  $\lambda_{\rm max}$  cm<sup>-1</sup>: 3680, 3400, 3010, 3000, 2920, 2850, 1750, 1600, 1370, 1280, 1230, 1100, 710; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (200 MHz)  $\delta$ : 1.47 (3H, s), 1.64 (3H, s), 1.68 (3H, s), 1.73 (3H, s), 2.00 (3H, s), 2.67 (1H, d, J=4.0 Hz), 4.83, 5.02 (2H,  $d_{\rm AB}$ , J=13.1 Hz), 5.35 (1H, br s), 5.35 (1H, m, overlapping with proton at position 6), 5.76 (1H, dd, J=4.0, 5.5 Hz), 5.83 (1H, d, J=5.5 Hz), 7.47 (3H, m), 7.96 (2H, t); EIMS m/z (rel. int.): 488 [M-18] + (6), 470 (0) 428 (2), 366 (1), 351 (1), 350 (2), 306 (4), 264 (3), 105 (100).

Compound 4 was obtained as an amorphous solid, mp 51-53°; UV  $\lambda_{\text{max}}^{\text{EtOH}}$  nm: 227, 273, 282; IR  $\nu_{\text{max}}$  cm<sup>-1</sup>; 3680, 3650, 3010, 2910, 1720, 1270, 1190, 1100, 710;  ${}^{1}$ H NMR (200 MHz)  $\delta$ : 1.62 (6H, s), 1.77 (3H, s), 2.45 (1H, dJ = 4.1 Hz), 4.31, 4.57  $(2H, d_{AB}, J)$ = 12.6 Hz), 4.42, 4.45 (1H, dd, J = 4.1, 5.3 Hz), 5.62 (1H, br s), 5.62 (1H, dd, J = 12.5, 4.5 Hz), 5.71 (1H, d, J = 5.3 Hz), 6.90 (2H, t), 7.21(3H, m), 7.35 (2H, m), 7.63 (3H, m); EIMS m/z (rel. int.): 508 [M -18] + (3), 490 (5), 475 (4), 404 (7), 386 (41), 371 (11), 105 (100).  $1\alpha, 9\alpha\text{-}Dibenzoyloxy\text{-}2\alpha, 6\beta, 8\alpha, 15\text{-}tetracetoxy\text{-}4\beta\text{-}hydroxydihy\text{-}$  $dro-\beta$ -agarofurane (5) was isolated as an amorphous solid, mp  $50-52^{\circ}$ ;  $[M-MeCOOH]^{+}$  at m/z 650.2297 (Calcd for  $C_{35}H_{38}O_{12}$ , 650.2232);  $IR v_{max} cm^{-1}$ : 3560, 3010, 2990, 2920, 1725, 1365, 1275, 1240, 1110, 1090, 1040, 710: <sup>1</sup>H NMR (200 MHz)  $\delta$ : 1.53 (3H, s), 1.58 (3H, s), 1.68 (3H, s), 2.05 (3H, s), 2.07 (3H, s), 2.15 (3H, s), 2.37 (3H, s), 2.42 (1H, d, J = 4.0 Hz), 2.75(1H, s), 5.05, 5.29  $(2H, d_{AB}, J = 13.5 \text{ Hz})$ , 5.47 (1H, m), 5.57 (1H, dd, d)J = 4.0, 6.2 Hz), 5.72 (1H, d, J = 6.2 Hz), 5.77 (1H, d, J = 3.8 Hz), 6.89 (2H, m), 6.97 (1H, br s), 7.18 (3H, m), 7.40 (2H, m), 7.55 (3H, t); EIMS m/z (rel. int.): 650 [M - 60] + (6), 608 (2), 590 (1), 546 (1), 528 (1), 468 (1), 426 (1), 105 (100).

When a soln of 5 (37 mg) in MeOH (4 ml) was treated with 0.1 M NaHCO<sub>3</sub> (2 ml) at 50° for 5 hr, then extracted and chromatographed, compounds 6-8 were obtained.

Compound **6**, gum: <sup>1</sup>H NMR (200 MHz)  $\delta$ : 1.60 (3H, s), 1.68 (3H, s), 1.80 (3H, s), 2.00 (3H, s), 2.06 (3H, s), 2.28 (3H, s), 2.40 (1H, d, J = 4.0 Hz), 5.00, 5.23, (2H,  $d_{AB}$ , J = 12.5 Hz), 5.43 (1H, br s), 5.44 (1H, m), 5.60 (1H, dd, J = 4.0, 5.0 Hz), 5.73 (1H, d, J = 5.0 Hz), 5.76 (1H, d, J = 3.0 Hz), 6.85 (2H, m), 7.16 (3H, m), 7.38 (2H, m), 7.52 (3H, m); EIMS m/z (rel. int.): 626 [M – 42] + (1), 608 (1), 546 (1), 481 (1), 428 (1), 386 (2), 355 (4), 279 (6), 105 (42).

Compound 7, gum: <sup>1</sup>H NMR (200 MHz)  $\delta$ : 1.64 (3H, s), 1.68 (3H, s), 1.75 (3H, s), 1.91 (3H, s), 2.03 (3H, s), 2.70 (1H, d, J = 4.0 Hz), 4.90, 5.35 (2H,  $d_{AB}$ , J = 12.5 Hz), 5.47 (2H, m), 5.70 (1H,

d, J = 6.0 Hz), 5.77 (1H, br s), 5.80 (1H, d, J = 6.0 Hz), 6.80 (2H, m), 7.04 (2H, m), 7.33 (3H, m), 7.52 (3H, m); EIMS m/z (rel. int.): 608 [M - 18]  $^+$  (2), 590 (1), 546 (1), 486 (1), 426 (1), 408 (1), 368 (3), 304 (2), 244 (4), 202 (7), 105 (100).

Compound 8, gum; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (200 MHz)  $\delta$ : 1.60–1.70 (angular methyls), 2.09 (3H, s), 2.49 (1H, d, J = 4.0 Hz), 4.42 (1H, m), 4.46, 4.75 (2H,  $d_{AB}$ , J = 12.5 Hz), 5.37 (1H, dd, J = 6.0, 4.0 Hz), 5.56 (1H, br s), 5.58 (1H, d, J = 6 Hz), 5.76 (1H, d, J = 3.0 Hz), 6.90 (2H, m), 7.19 (3H, m), 7.50 (2H, m), 7.90 (3H, m); EIMS m/z (rel. int.): 415 [M – 109] <sup>+</sup> (60), 386 (2), 372 (2), 344 (2), 330 (2), 305 (5), 284 (6), 279 (9), 256 (8), 105 (15).

Acknowledgements—We are indebted to CAICYT (PR694-84) and the AIETI Foundation for subsidizing this project.

### REFERENCES

- 1. Sunding, P. (1971) Caud. Bot. Canar. 13 8.
- Loesener, T. (1978) Die natürlichen Pflanzefamilien Vol. III(5) (Engler, A. and Prantl, K., eds.), p. 189. Leipzig.
- Ceballos, L. and Ortuño F. (1976) Estudio sobre la vegetación y flora forestal de las Canarias Occidentales, Excmo. Cabildo Insular de Santa Cruz de Tenerife, p. 355.
- Lowe, R. T. (1868) A Manual Flora of Medeira and the Adjacent Island of Porto Sante and the Deserts Vol I. pp. 107, 109. John Van Voorst, London.
- González, A. G., Francisco, C. G., Freire, R., Hernández, R., Salazar, J. A. and Suarez, E. (1975) Phytochemistry 14, 1067.
- González, A. G., Darias, V., Boada, J. N. and Alonso, G. (1977) Planta Med. 32, 282.
- 7. Betancor, C., Freire, R., González, A. G., Salazar, J. A., Pascard, C. and Prange, T. (1980) Phytochemistry 19, 1989.
- González, A. G., Bazzocchi, I. L., Ferro, E. A., Ravelo, A. G., Gutierrez, J. and Aguilar, M. A. (1986) Biochem. Syst. Ecol. 14, 479.
- Laing, M., Burke-Laing, M. E., Bartho, R. and Weeks, C. M. (1977) Tetrahedron Letters 3839.
- Kikuchi, T. and Toyoda, T. (1971) Chem. Pharm. Bull. 19, 753.
- 11. Takaishi, Y., Ujita, K., Nakano, K., Nurakami, K. and Tomimatsu, T. (1987) Phytochemistry 26, 2325.
- 12. Vichnewski, W., Siva Prasad, J. and Herz, W. (1984) Phytochemistry 23, 1655.
- Crombie, L., Toplis, D., Whiting, D. A., Rozsa, Z., Hohmann, J. and Szendrei, K. (1986) J. Chem. Soc. Perkin Trans. 1 531.
- 14. Brüning, R. and Wagner, N. (1978) Phytochemistry 17, 1821.
- Takaishu, Y., Ujita, K., Kida, K., Shibuya, M. and Tomimatsu, T. (1987) Phytochemistry 26, 2581.
- Kupchan, S. M., Smith, R. M. and Bryan, R. F. (1970) J. Am. Chem. Soc. 92, 6667.
- Wagner, N., Heckel, E. and Sonnenbichler, J. (1975) Tetrahedron 31, 1949.
- Sugiura, K., Shizuri, Y., Hirota, Y. and Yamada, K. (1982) Tetrahedron 38, 3465.